

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/487 /2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : - 8 FEB 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 550 OF 2015.**

**(Sub- Arrears of Salary)**

1 Shri. Harshavardhan B. Suryawanshi,  
R/o. B-26/6, Govt. Colony, Udapi Chauk, Bandra (E), Mumbai-51.  
.....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

1 The Tahasildar, Tal. Kagal,  
Dist. Kolhapur. 2 The District Collector, Kolhapur,  
Having Office at Nagala Park,  
Kolhapur.

.....**RESPONDENT/S.**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 03<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2016 has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri. B.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.  
Shri. K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **03.02.2016.**

ORDER : 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar was called to pinpoint the pleadings as regards legal right to receive interest on delayed payment.

3. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar states that he is not able to pinpoint the pleadings contained, if any, in the O.A.

4. Learned Advocate further emphasis the averments contained in paragraphs 6.7 and 6.8 of the O.A..

5. It is seen that not that the pleadings relieved upon by the learned Advocate do not constitute averments of legal right. Hence, O.A does not carry any merit and is dismissed.

6. This will not preclude the applicant for making request to the Government his payment of interest.

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman.

*Judicial*  
*5/2/2016*  
Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.